### **Internal Revenue Service**

Number: **201150030** 

Release Date: 12/16/2011

Index Number: 1362.04-00, 1361.01-04

Department of the Treasury

Washington, DC 20224

Third Party Communication: None

Date of Communication: Not Applicable

Person To Contact: , ID No.

Telephone Number:

Refer Reply To: CC:PSI:B01 PLR-117920-11

Date:

August 29, 2011

## Legend:

<u>X</u> =

State =

<u>D1</u> =

D2 =

Year =

Dear :

This responds to the letter dated April 19, 2011, and related correspondence, submitted on behalf of  $\underline{X}$ , requesting relief under § 1362(f) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code") for an inadvertent termination of S election.

# **FACTS**

The information submitted states that  $\underline{X}$  was incorporated under the laws of <u>State</u> on  $\underline{D1}$ .  $\underline{X}$  elected to be treated as an S corporation, effective  $\underline{D2}$ . Beginning in  $\underline{Year}$ ,  $\underline{X}$  made disproportionate advances to its shareholders based on certain loan arrangements.  $\underline{X}$  determined that if the advances are viewed as disproportionate

distributions,  $\underline{X}$  may have inadvertently created a second class of stock in violation of the one class of stock requirement under § 1361(b)(1)(D).

 $\underline{X}$  represents that its governing provisions provide for one class of stock, and that it did not intend to create a second class of stock or terminate its S election. Immediately after the discovery of the errors,  $\underline{X}$  and its shareholders took corrective actions: (1)  $\underline{X}$  and its shareholders formalized the loan arrangements with written agreements, (2) the shareholders of  $\underline{X}$  paid off a portion of loans plus interests to  $\underline{X}$  pursuant to the formalized loan agreements, and (3)  $\underline{X}$  paid off its loans to an entity to which the shareholders of  $\underline{X}$  were indirect owners. In addition,  $\underline{X}$  and its shareholders agree to make any adjustments required by the Commissioner consistent with the treatment of X as an S corporation.

## **LAW AND ANALYSIS**

Section 1361(a)(1) provides that the term "S corporation" means, with respect to any taxable year, a small business corporation for which an election under § 1362(a) is in effect for the year.

Section 1361(b)(1)(D) provides that, for purposes of subchapter S, the term "small business corporation" means a domestic corporation that is not an ineligible corporation and that does not, among other things, have more than one class of stock.

Section 1.1361-1(I)(1) of the Income Tax Regulations provides that a corporation is generally treated as having only one class of stock if all outstanding shares of stock of the corporation confer identical rights to distribution and liquidation proceeds.

Section 1.1361-1(I)(2)(i) provides that the determination of whether all outstanding shares of stock confer identical rights to distribution and liquidation proceeds is made based on the corporate charter, articles of incorporation, bylaws, applicable state law, and binding agreements relating to distribution and liquidation proceeds (collectively, the "governing provisions"). A commercial contractual agreement, such as a lease, employment agreement, or loan agreement, is not a binding agreement relating to distribution and liquidation proceeds and thus is not a governing provision unless a principal purpose of the agreement is to circumvent the one class of stock requirement. Although a corporation is not treated as having more than one class of stock so long as the governing provisions provide for identical distribution and liquidation rights, any distributions (including actual, constructive, or deemed distributions) that differ in timing or amount are to be given appropriate tax effect in accordance with the facts and circumstances.

Section 1362(d)(2)(A) provides that an election under §1362(a) shall be terminated whenever (at any time on or after the first day of the first taxable year for

which the corporation is an S corporation) such corporation ceases to be a small business corporation.

Section 1362(f) provides, in part, that if (1) an election under § 1362(a) by any corporation (A) was not effective for the taxable year for which made (determined without regard to § 1362(b)(2)) by reason of a failure to meet the requirements of § 1361(b) or to obtain shareholder consent, or (B) was terminated under § 1362(d)(2) or (3), (2) the Secretary determines that the circumstances resulting in such ineffectiveness or termination were inadvertent, (3) no later than a reasonable period of time after discovery of the circumstances resulting in the ineffectiveness or termination, steps were taken - (A) so that the corporation is a small business corporation, or (B) to acquire the required shareholder consents, and (4) the corporation, and each person who was a shareholder of the corporation at any time during the period specified pursuant to this subsection, agrees to make such adjustments (consistent with the treatment of the corporation as an S corporation) as may be required by the Secretary with respect to such period, then, notwithstanding the circumstances resulting in such ineffectiveness or termination, such corporation shall be treated as an S corporation during the period specified by the Secretary.

Section 1.1362-4(d) provides that the Commissioner may require any adjustments that are appropriate. In general, the adjustments required should be consistent with the treatment of the corporation as an S corporation during the period specified by the Commissioner.

#### CONCLUSION

Based solely upon the facts submitted and the representations made, we conclude that  $\underline{X}$ 's S election may have terminated because  $\underline{X}$  may have had more than one class of stock. However, we conclude that, if  $\underline{X}$ 's S election was terminated, such a termination was inadvertent within the meaning of  $\S$  1362(f) of the Code. Further, we conclude that the corrective actions taken by  $\underline{X}$  and its shareholders does not create a second class of stock under  $\S$  1361. Consequently, we rule that  $\underline{X}$  will be treated as continuing to be an S corporation for  $\underline{Y}$ ear, and thereafter, provided that  $\underline{X}$ 's S election is not otherwise terminated under  $\S$  1362(d).

Except as specifically ruled upon above, no opinion is expressed or implied concerning the tax consequences of any facts discussed or referenced in this letter.

This ruling is directed only to the taxpayer who requested it. Section 6110(k)(3) provides that it may not be used or cited as precedent.

In accordance with the Power of Attorney on file with this office, copies of this letter ruling will be sent to your authorized representatives.

Sincerely,

David R. Haglund
David R. Haglund Chief, Branch 1 Office of Associate Chief Counsel (Passthroughs and Special Industries)

Enclosures (2)

Copy of this letter Copy for § 6110 purposes

CC: